MASTER

KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EXECUTIVE SERVICES INQUEST PROGRAM

INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF ANDREA CHURNA INQUEST #201Q029929

INTERROGATORIES TO THE INQUEST JURY

DATED 19th day of November, 2025.

Aluic Garage

Julia Garratt Inquest Administrator



Interrogatory	No. 1 On Septembe	er 20, 2020, at approximately 9:22 pm, did Andrea Churna call
911, rep	orting that someon	e was inside her apartment trying to kill her?
YES	NO6_	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory	No. 2 Did Ms. Chu	rna provide the 911 dispatcher with her address?
YES	NO6	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory	No. 3 Did Ms. Chu	rna hang up on the 911 dispatcher?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN_6
Interrogatory	No. 4 Did Redmon	d Police Officers respond to Ms. Churna's 911 call?
YES_(e NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory	No. 5 Was the 911	dispatcher able to determine the building that Ms. Churna
likely ca	alled from?	
YES(9 NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory	No. 6 Was the 911	dispatcher able to determine the unit that Ms. Churna likely
called fr		
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory	No. 7 Did officers s	search the apartment building to attempt to locate Ms.
Churna'	s unit?	
YES	(e_ NO	UNKNOWN
		left



Interrogatory No. 8 Were the follow	wing officers re	eadily apparent	as police?
Officer Brian Hood	YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Officer Ty Tomlinson			
Officer Evan Barnard	YES_6_	NO	UNKNOWN
Officer Mike McCormick			
Officer Daniel Mendoza			
Interrogatory No. 9. Did officers fitted the balcony of her fourth-floor		Churna when sl	ne was on the outside edge of
YES NO	UNKNOWN_		
Interrogatory No. 10 Did Ms. Chur	na tell Officer I	Hood her unit n	umber?
YES	UNKNOWN_		
Interrogatory No. 11 Did Officer H	ood notify the	other officers of	f the unit number?
YESNO	UNKNOWN_	5	
Interrogatory No. 12 Did Ms. Chur	na tell Officer I	Hood that she d	id not feel safe inside her
apartment?			
YES_ Q NO	UNKNOWN_		
Interrogatory No. 13 Did Ms. Chur	na tell Officer F	Hood that she h	ad shot at someone?
YES_6 NO	UNKNOWN_		
Interrogatory No. 14 Did investigate	ors with the Kin	ng County Sher	iff's Office later locate a
bullet casing in Ms. Churna's			
YES NO	UNKNOWN_		



Inquest Program
Department of Executive Services
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135
Seattle, WA 98104
206-477-6191
TTY Relay 711
Inquests@kingcounty.gov

Interrogatory No. 1	15 Did Officer H	lood suspect Ms. Churna was suffering from mental health
issues?		
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 1	16 Did Officer F	lood notify the other officers via radio of his suspicions
about Ms. Cl	hurna's mental h	nealth?
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	17 Did Officer F	Hood ask Ms. Churna if she owned a firearm?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	18 Did Ms. Chur	rna then retrieve a firearm from her apartment?
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	19 Did Ms. Chu	rna point a firearm at Officer Hood?
YES	NO	unknown 6
Interrogatory No.	20 Did Officer H	Hood consider firing shots at Ms. Churna?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	21 Did Officer F	Hood decline to fire shots at Ms. Churna out of concern for
hitting other	apartments or re	esidents?
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	22 Did Officer I	Hood notify the other officers via radio that Ms. Churna had a
firearm?		
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	23 Did Officer I	Hood tell Ms. Churna to set down the firearm?
$_{ ext{YES}}$ $\left(\!$	NO	UNKNOWN



Inquest Program
Department of Executive Services
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135
Seattle, WA 98104
206-477-6191
TTY Relay 711
Inquests@kingcounty.gov

Interrogatory No. 2	4 Did Ms. Chu	ma then put the firearm back in her apartment?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN_6
Interrogatory No. 2	5 Did Officer H	lood ask Ms. Churna to unlock her apartment door?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 2	6 Did Ms. Chu	rna tell Officer Hood she unlocked it after he asked?
YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 2	7 Did Officer H	lood let the other officers know that Ms. Churna's door was
unlocked at t	his time?	
YES	NO_C	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 2	8 Did Officer T	Comlinson and Officer Barnard go to the fourth-floor hallway
near Ms. Chu	ırna's apartmen	t?
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 2	9 Did Officer T	Comlinson and Officer Barnard see Ms. Churna exit her
apartment?		
YES	NO	unknown_4
Interrogatory No. 3	30 Did Ms. Chu	rna point a firearm at Officer Tomlinson and Officer Barnard
in the hallwa	y after exiting h	ner apartment?
YES	NO	unknown_5_
Interrogatory No. 3	31 Did Officer T	Comlinson and Officer Barnard become separated after they
initially saw	Ms. Churna?	
VES (o	NO	IJNKNOWN



Interr	ogatory No. 3	2 Did Officer T	Comlinson fire shots with his handgun at Ms. Churna in the
	hallway?		
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
Interr	ogatory No. 3	3 Did Officer l	Barnard know who fired the initial shots?
	YES	NO_6	UNKNOWN
Interr	ogatory No. 3	4 After shots v	vere initially fired, did Officer Barnard back up and lose sight
	of Ms. Churn		
	YES	NO_2_	unknown 4
Interr	ogatory No. 3	5 After shots v	vere initially fired, did Officer Barnard back up and lose sight
	of Officer To	mlinson?	
	YES	NO_2_	UNKNOWN_4
Interr	ogatory No. 3	6 Did Officer T	Comlinson lose sight of Ms. Churna after firing shots at her?
	YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interr	ogatory No. 3	7 Did Officer T	Comlinson lose sight of Officer Barnard after firing shots at
	Ms. Churna?		
	YES <u>2</u>	NO_3_	UNKNOWN
Interr	ogatory No. 3	8 Did Officer I	Barnard hear Ms. Churna tell someone on the phone that the
	police were sl	hooting at her?	
	YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interr	ogatory No. 3	9 Did Ms. Chu	rna call her ex-husband, Timothy Churna, and ask him to
	come over?		
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN



Interrogatory No. 40 Did Timothy Churna hear Ms. Churna tell him that the police were
shooting at her?
YES NO UNKNOWN_
Interrogatory No. 41 Did Officer Tomlinson see Ms. Churna in the hallway again after he
initially fired shots at her?
YES NO UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 42 Did Ms. Churna have a firearm in her hand when Officer Tomlinson sav
her a second time?
YES_L NO UNKNOWN_5
Interrogatory No. 43 Did Officer Tomlinson fire a shot at Ms. Churna a second time?
YES_6 NO UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 44 Did Officer Barnard fire shots at Ms. Churna with his handgun after he
perceived shots were fired in his direction?
yes_2 no 2 unknown 2
Interrogatory No. 45 Was Ms. Churna in between Officer Tomlinson and Officer Barnard
when they each fired shots at her?
YES NO UNKNOWN N/A
Interrogatory No. 46 Did Ms. Churna fire shots at Officer Barnard?
YES NO_6 UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 47 Did Officer Barnard believe that Ms. Churna fired shots at him?
YES NO UNKNOWN 6



Interrogatory No. 48 Did investigators with the King County Sheriff's Office ultimately					
determine th	determine that Ms. Churna did not fire any shots in the hallway?				
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	49 Did Ms. Chur	na go back out onto the balcony after officers fired shots at			
her?					
YES	NO_\$	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	50 Did Officer H	lood see a firearm in Ms. Churna's hand at that time?			
YES	NO_5_	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	51 Did Officer H	lood ask Ms. Churna to toss her firearm off the balcony?			
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	52 Did Ms. Chur	rna toss her firearm off the balcony?			
YES	NO 6	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	53 Did Ms. Chur	na tell Officer Hood that she would only "turn [herself] in"			
to Timothy	Churna?				
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	54 Did Timothy	Churna arrive at the Modera apartment complex?			
YES_ 6	NO	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No.	55 Did Timothy	Churna speak with Sergeant Jones near the entrance to the			
apartment co	omplex?				
YES	NO	UNKNOWN			
Interrogatory No. 56 Did Timothy Churna feel as though he was allowed to go up to the 4 th					
floor of the l	floor of the Modera apartments?				
YES	NO_	UNKNOWN			



Interrogatory No. 57 Did Sergeant Jones notify the other officers via radio that Timothy Churna	
had arrived?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 58 Did Officer Hood suggest to the other officers via radio that they enter	
Ms. Churna's apartment and apprehend her because he believed her to be unarmed at that	
current time?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 59 Did the officers in the building decide to wait for a ballistic shield to	
arrive before attempting to apprehend Ms. Churna?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 60 Did Officer Hood notify the other officers via radio that Ms. Churna was	
going to go back into the hallway, and that she said she would be unarmed?	
YES_ 6 NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 61 Was Officer Barnard alone in the hallway, near an intersection, when Ms.	
Churna re-entered the hallway?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 62 Was Ms. Churna wearing a fitted T-shirt and yoga pants that evening?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 63 Did Officer Barnard order Ms. Churna to put her hands in the air, lie on	
the ground, and cross her feet?	
YES_ 6 NO_ UNKNOWN	
Interrogatory No. 64 Did Ms. Churna comply with Officer Barnard's orders in the previous	
Interrogatory?	
YES NO UNKNOWN	
L'A King Cour	



Interrogatory No.	65 Did Officer	Barnard observe anything in Ms. Churna's hands at this time?
YES	NO 6	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	66 Did Officer	Barnard warn Ms. Churna that she would be shot if she
moved?		
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	67 Did Officers	McCormick, Tomlinson, and Mendoza join Officer Barnard
in the hallw	ay at the interse	ction?
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	68 Did Officer	Barnard inform the additional officers that Ms. Churna was
		g on the ground?
YES	NO_4	unknown_2_
Interrogatory No.	69 Did Ms. Chu	ırna ask officers about Timothy Churna while she was lying
on the groun	nd?	
YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	70 Did Ms. Chu	urna turn her head towards officers while lying on the ground?
YES 6	NO	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	71 Did any offic	cers inform Ms. Churna that Timothy Churna had arrived at
the building	g?	
YES	NO_6	UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No.	72 Did any offic	cers warn Ms. Churna that if she moved, she would be shot?
YES 6	NO	UNKNOWN



Inquests@kingcounty.gov

Interr	Interrogatory No. 73 Did Ms. Churna slowly move her body approximately 90 degrees in the				
	hallway, facin	g her doorway,	after being warned against moving?		
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN		
Interr	ogatory No. 74	4 Did Ms. Chur	na reach toward her apartment door handle?		
	YES	NO_2_	UNKNOWN 4		
Interr	ogatory No. 75	5 Was Ms. Chu	rna's apartment door closed at this time?		
	YES_6	NO	UNKNOWN		
Interr	ogatory No. 70	Would it have	been reasonable for Officer Mendoza to believe that Ms.		
	Churna had a	firearm near he	r when she was lying on the hallway floor?		
	YES	NO	unknown 4		
Interr	ogatory No. 7	7 Did Ms. Chur	na have a firearm near her when she was lying on the		
	hallway floor				
	YES	NO_6	UNKNOWN		
Interre	ogatory No. 78	3 Was Ms. Chui	rna's handgun later located on her balcony?		
	YES	NO	UNKNOWN		
Interre	ogatory No. 79	Did Officer D	aniel Mendoza fire six shots at Ms. Churna with a patrol		
	rifle?				
	YES Q	NO	UNKNOWN		
Interro	ogatory No. 80	Did Dhananja	y Bahal see a ballistic shield in the hallway before Officer		
	Mendoza fired	l shots?			
	yes_3_	NO	unknown_3_		
Interro	ogatory No. 81	Did Ms. Chur	na die from wounds caused by rifle bullets?		
	YESLe_	NO	UNKNOWN		



Inquests@kingcounty.gov

Interrogatory No. 82 Did Ms. Churna die from bullets fired by Officer Mendoza?
YES NO UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 83 Did Ms. Churna die in the hallway of the Modera apartment building on
the evening of September 20, 2020?
YES NO UNKNOWN
Interrogatory No. 84: Would it have been reasonable for Officer Mendoza to believe that Ms.
Churna posed an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to any person at the
time he fired shots?
yes no_5 unknown_l n/a
Interrogatory No. 85: Did Officer Mendoza use only that amount of force that reasonably
appeared necessary given the facts and circumstances he likely perceived at the time he
fired shots?
YES NO_6 UNKNOWN N/A
Interrogatory No. 86: Was Officer Mendoza's use of deadly force legally justified, as explained
in Instruction No. 5?
YES NO_6 UNKNOWN N/A
Interrogatory No. 87: Did Officer Mendoza meet the "good faith standard," as explained in
Instruction No. 5?
YES NO UNKNOWN N/A
Interrogatory No. 88: Did Officer Mendoza cause Ms. Churna's death by criminal means, as
explained in Instruction No. 6?
yes_{5} NO UNKNOWN_



Inquest Program
Department of Executive Services
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135
Seattle, WA 98104
206-477-6191
TTY Relay 711
Inquests@kingcounty.gov

By initialing this document, the Presiding Juror attests that they have accurately recorded each juror's answers to each Interrogatory in this document.

DATED this 20 day of November, 2025.

Presiding Juror <u>KM</u> (initials)



By signing this page, each juror attests that they have reviewed the answers to the Interrogatories posed to them in the Inquest into the Death of Andrea Churna, Inquest No. 20IQ029929, and that their own individual answer is accurately recorded in the answers.

Dated this 20 day of November, 2025.

Presiding Juror Juror

Juror Juror

Juror Furor

Inquest Program
Department of Executive Services
401 Fifth Avenue, Suite 135
Seattle, WA 98104
206-477-6191
TTY Relay 711

Inquests@kingcounty.gov

Juror	No.	
Jului	T 400	

Interrogatory No]:	Andrea reported that somebody was at her trying to kill her at her apartments which is distinctly different from the avestion as presented.
Interrogatory No. <u>34</u> .	The timeline of this moment is unclear due to conflicting testimony.
Interrogatory No.44.	While Barrard percieved shots it's unclear
interrogutory 1101 ₁₁₁ .	tirection.
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Interrogatory No:	
¥	
Interrogatory No:	

Interrogatory No. 19: UNYHOUN- ONLY BASED ON HOOD'S TESTIMONY, HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND PERLATIC MOVEHENES, COULD HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY Interrogatory No. 17: UNKNOWN - NO USUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 28 Interrogatory No. 28 Interrogatory No. 28 Interrogatory No. 28 UNKNOWN - NO USUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME EXIT, (INKNOWN OF TOMANSON SAW HERE EXIT.		UNKNOWN- UNCLEAR IF HOOD KELAYED TO OTHER
Interrogatory No.19: UNKNOWN - ONLY BASED ON HOOD'S TESTIMONY, HOWEVER THERE WAS LOW LIGHTING AND PERLATIC MOVEHERIS COWD HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY INTERPRETED BITHER WAY INTERPRETED BITHER WAY UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.24 Interrogatory No.25 Interrogatory No.26: UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOPHLINSON SAW HERE	Interrogatory No. 1:	
Interrogatory No. 19: UNKNOWN - ONLY BASED ON HOOT'S TESTIMONY, HOWEVER THERE WAS LOW LIGHTING AND PERLATIC MOVEHENES COVID HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY Interrogatory No. 17: UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 17: HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND FERTARS HER SHOWNE THE GVN AND ERRATIC MOVEHENIS COVID AAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY THE - ASSUME WURTD "OWN" IS SYNONYMOUS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: EXIT, [NKNOWN IF TOMLINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 17: HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND FERTARS HER SHOWNE THE GVN AND ERRATIC MOVEHENIS COVID AAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY THE - ASSUME WURTD "OWN" IS SYNONYMOUS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: EXIT, [NKNOWN IF TOMLINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 17: HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND FERTARS HER SHOWNE THE GVN AND ERRATIC MOVEHENIS COVID AAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY THE - ASSUME WURTD "OWN" IS SYNONYMOUS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: EXIT, [NKNOWN IF TOMLINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 17: HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND FERTARS HER SHOWNE THE GVN AND ERRATIC MOVEHENIS COVID AAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY THE - ASSUME WURTD "OWN" IS SYNONYMOUS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: EXIT, [NKNOWN IF TOMLINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 17: HOWEVER THERE, WAS LOW LIGHTING AND FERTARS HER SHOWNE THE GVN AND ERRATIC MOVEHENIS COVID AAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY THE - ASSUME WURTD "OWN" IS SYNONYMOUS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GVN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 24: Interrogatory No. 25: Interrogatory No. 26: EXIT, [NKNOWN IF TOMLINSON SAW HERE		UNKNOWN- ONLY BASED ON HORD'S TRATHUNGY.
Interrogatory No.29 PERHAPS HER SHOWING THE GUN AND ERRATIC MOVEHUNG COULD HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BITHER WAY 185 - ASSUME WURED "OWN" 13 34NON 4MOVS WITH "HAVE" UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.21: TES - OFFICER BARNARD SAN CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMINSON SAW HERE	Interrogatory No. 19:	
Interrogatory No.29 Interrogatory No.29 Interrogatory No.29 Interrogatory No.29 Interrogatory No.21: Interrogatory No.22: Interrogatory No.22: Interrogatory No.23: Interrogatory No.24: Interrogatory No.25: Interrogatory No.25: Interrogatory No.26: Interrogatory No.27: Inte		•
Interrogatory No.27: UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.26: Interrogatory No.		1.
Interrogatory No.29 UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.29: THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE	1	
Interrogatory No.29 UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIGURATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.29: YES - OFFICER BARNARD SAN CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMINSON SAW HERE		INITARTER WAY
Interrogatory No.29 UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIGURATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.29: YES - OFFICER BARNARD SAN CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No.29 UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIGURATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No.29: YES - OFFICER BARNARD SAN CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29 UNKNOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME Interrogatory No. 29: HES - OFFICER BARNARD SAN CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMINSON SAW HERE	Interrogatory No. 17.	
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE	interrogatory 140.	WITH "HAVE"
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No. 29: WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME WHERE GUN WAS PLACED AT THIS TIME THES - OFFICER BARNARD SAW CHURNA EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE		UNKHOWN - NO VISUAL CONFIRMATION OF
Interrogatory No 29: EXIT, UNIK NOWN IF TOMUNSON SAW HERE	Interrogatory No. 2	
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		
Interrogatory No E1: EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TO MINSON SAW HERE		HE RELACIO RAMINATION CANA CHARLAS
EXIT, UNKNOWN IF TUPROWN DAW HER	Interrogatory No.29:	
		· ·
		EXIT
	1	

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No. 2	UNITARIO LOST SIGHT BEFORE INITIAL SHOTS FIRED
Interrogatory No. 25.	UNKNOWN- SAME EXPLANATION AS # 39
Interrogatory No. <u>37</u> :	TO FIRMS SHOTS AND CONTINUED TO NOT HAVE SLOWT AFTER
Interrogatory No. 40:	UNIKALOWAL - NOT CONTAINED IN TESTMONY
Interrogatory No.44:	MKNOWN- UNCLEAR IF HE THOUGHT SHE FIRED IN HIS DIRECTION BEFORE HE FIRED SHOTS

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No.47:	UNKNOWN- UNCLEAR IF HE THOUGHT SHOTS WERE ACTUALLY FIRED AT HIM
Interrogatory Nol <u>J</u> 2:	TES, HOWEVER COND HAVE BEEN TANK TOP INSTRAD OF T-SHIPT
Interrogatory No. <u>7</u> :	MICHOWN- ARM MAY HAVE BEEN IN MOTION FOR THE DOOR HANDLE. MUTUM MAY HAVE JUST STATED BUSED ON EVIDENCE,
Interrogatory No. <u>€</u> 0:	UNKNOWN- CONFIRMED HE THINKS HED SAV SHIELD PRIOR TO SHOTS FIRED, UNKNOWN DUE TO HIS RECOLLECTION OF TIMELINE AND RESEAPEN OF CASE INFLUENCING RECOLLECTION.
Interrogatory No. 1 :	MO-SHE STATED SOMEONE WAS "AT" HER APARTMENT, REST OF STATEMENT APPERS CORPECT

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No29:	YES BUT ONLY BARNARD SAW EXIT. TOMLINSON ONLY SAW HER APTER EXIT.
Interrogatory No.30:	NOT CERTAIN A. CHURNO HAD AGUN. HERIND TESTIMONY BUT STILL NOT CERTAIN.
Interrogatory No.34:	BARNARD RETREATED BEFORE SMOTS FIRED
Interrogatory No. 35:	BARNARD RETREATED BEFORE SHOTS FIRED
Interrogatory No.37:	AWKWAND WANDING . T.T. HAD LOST SIGNAT OF & BANDAND BEFORE FIRING .

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No.40:	NOT IN TESTIMONY
Interrogatory No. 44:	HE STATED HE HEARD GUNSHOTS, NOT STATED HE PERCIEVED IN HIS DIRECTION
Interrogatory No. <u>47</u> :	SHOTS WERE FIRED. BARNARD SHOULD HAVE PERCIEVED HEWAS IN PATH OF FIRE. NO WAY TO KNOW. HE DID NOT STATE: "SHE FIRED AT ME."
Interrogatory No. <u>74</u> :	ARM WAY HAVE BEEN MOVING BUT NO KNOWN PUMPOSE.
Interrogatory No. <u>光</u> :	THE WOND "HAD." COMPHANE IS NOT THE SAME AS HAVING POSESSION

Juror	No.	3

Interrogatory No So:	MAY BE PASCIBLE HE SAW SHIELD
· ·	
	AFTER MENDOZA FIRED.
	1 - 100 610 1 17000
T / NT	
Interrogatory No:	
ÿ	
i i	
· .	
Interrogatory No:	
Į į	
*	
†	
Todayan No.	
Interrogatory No:	
1	
	· ·
Interrogatory No:	

Juror	No.	1

Interrogatory No. 1:	No because we are not sure if she said "inside" hay apartment.
Interrogatory No. !!:	we are not sure if Hood told them the unit number or if they heard it from Churna.
	the are and sine it she as mad the country
Interrogatory No. <u>l 7</u> :	We are not sure if she owned the gun, but she did posess a gun.
	Distance and lighting market difficult
Interrogatory No. 19:	to know if he could see well
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Interrogatory No. :	
interrogatory 110	

Interrogatory No. <u>29</u> :	officer Ramard saw Ms. Churna exit her apartment however Officer Tombison only saw her after she had exited into the hallway.
Interrogatory No. <u>30</u> :	Given the heightened situation and the very short time frame it appears unclear that they saw her with a gun
Interrogatory No.34:	It is unclear whether Officer Barnard moved back before or after initial shots were fired
Interrogatory No.37:	Officer Tomlinson lost sight of
interrogatory No. <u>51</u> .	Officer Barnard before firing shots
	at Ms. Churna
Interrogatory No. :	
interrogatory No	

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No. 1:	ANDREA CHURNA SEEMED TO BE REPORTING
interrogatory No. 1:	SOMEONE "AT" HER APARTMENT BUT
	DOES MOT SPECIFY "INSIDE"
T	ET WITNESS TESTIMONY AND DISPATCH
Interrogatory No. 11:	ARENOT CLEAR WHO MOTIFIED THE OFFICERS.
	OCEAN 98 APPEARS TO HAVE RADIOFY THE
	UMIT NUMBERAND THIS CALL SIGN WAS
	ATTRIBUTED TO TOMUNSON.
2.1	IT IS NOT CLEAR WHERE THE GUN WAS
Interrogatory No <u>24</u> :	PLACED.
	IT IS NOT CLEAR IF TOMLINSON WITNESSED
Interrogatory No. 29:	
	MS. CHURNA EXIT APARTMENT. BARNARD
	DID WITNESS ITEN EXIT.
	CHIEN THE PASITIVITY OF THE ACCUSAL
Interrogatory No.30:	GIVEN THE POSITIONING OF THE OFFICERS
	AND TIMING OF EVENTS IT IS UNCLEAR
	IF THE OFFICERS WOULD HAVE A CLEAR
	VIEW OF MS. CHURNA'S HANDS.
	·

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

Interrogatory No.34:	OFFICEN BARNARD LOST SIGHT OF MS CHURNA
interrogatory 140.	BEFORE INITIAL SHOTS WENE FINED.
	OFFICEN BARNARD LOST SIGHT OF TUNCINSON
Interrogatory No.35:	
	BEFORE INITIAL SHOTS WERE FIRED.
	•
	OFFICEN TUNCINSON LOST SIGHT OF BARMAND
Interrogatory No. <u>37</u> :	BEFORE FOR FIRING SHOTS AT MS. CHURNA.
T-4	BARNARD DID NOT REPORT SHOTS WENE
Interrogatory No. 44:	FIRED "IN HIS DINECTION" ONLY THAT ITE
	DID NOT KNOW WHO FIRED.
	AT ASY DIPONE SULLETTE LICET AND LES
Interrogatory No.74:	AUTOPSY REPORT SUGGESTS LEFT ARM WAS
	POSITIONED MEAN HER SIDE AT THE
	TIME OF BEING SHOT.

Juror No. <u>6</u>

JUROR EXPLANATION FORM

	0.11
Interrogatory No. 20.	PHANANJAY BAHAL'S MEMORY IS SUPPORTE
interrogatory rospos	OF OFFICER BARNARD'S CONFIRMATION OF
	OF OFFICER BARNARD'S CONFIRMATION OF
	RECEIPT OF THE SHIELD.
Interrogatory No:	
Interrogatory No:	
Interrogatory No:	
<i>"</i> —	
	·
Interrogatory No:	
• • • <u>—</u>	